

# Central Valley Flood Protection Board

## Executive Officer Delegation Report

Posting Date: March 10th, 2026  
Number of Applications Scheduled: 10

### Application Number: 16063-1

**Applicant:** The Chris Carlino Trust

**Location Description:** The project is located on the left bank levee of the Sacramento River on Garden Highway just south of West Elkhorn Boulevard, in Sacramento County (38.68267°N, 121.63160°W).

**County:** Sacramento

**Stream:** Sacramento River

**Project Description:** This is a "name change" permit that includes conditions that are consistent with current flood control standards and policies of the Central Valley Flood Protection Board. This permit supplements and where in conflict supersedes Permit No. 16063, issued to Karl Douglas Cummings in 1995, to construct an elevated 14-foot by 24-foot storage shed on the left bank overflow area of the Sacramento River.

**LMA Endorsement:** Reclamation District 1000

**CEQA Finding:** The Board has determined that an application to change the name of the permittee does not have the potential to result in either a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and thus, is not a "project" for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA Public Resources Code Section 21065; CEQA California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15378(a)).

### Application Number: 19662-1

**Applicant:** Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E)

**Location Description:** This project is located on Old River Road starting from Levee Road and extending approximately 3,100 feet north on Old River Road from levee mile 3.13 to 3.76, in West Sacramento, Yolo County (38.611747, -121.562026).

**County:** Yolo

**Stream:** Sacramento River and Bypass

**Project Description:** To authorize the installation of nine (9) new PG&E poles on the landside of Old River Road. Four (4) of the poles will be 50-foot Class 1 poles set at a depth of 8 feet below grade, and five (5) will be 50-foot Class 2 poles set at a depth of 8 feet below grade. The project includes the installation of 1,378 linear feet of overhead electrical cable; attachment of 1,600 linear feet of electrical cable on downstream side, below the deck of the new Sacramento Weir bridge; installation of 230 linear feet of underground cables at a depth of 2 feet below grade (two 6-inch electrical conduits spaced 9 inches apart and one 4-inch communication conduit located 12 inches from the

nearest electrical conduit); and removal of the existing 14 temporary poles (Board Permit No. 19662, issued on 7/22/2022) located on the east side of Old River Road.

**LMA Endorsement:** Reclamation District 537

**CEQA Finding:** As the lead agency under CEQA, the Board certified the American River Common Features General Re-Evaluation Report Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (ARCF GRR EIR/EIS) (State Clearinghouse No. 2005072046) through Board Resolution 2016-04 including a Statement of Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program and filed a Notice of Determination on June 9, 2016 approving the ARCF Project. To address project refinements since publication of the ARCF GRR EIS/EIR, the U.S Army Corps of Engineers and the Board prepared a joint Supplemental EIS/EIR for the Sacramento Weir Widening Project (State Clearinghouse No. 2020070575).

The Board certified the Supplemental EIS/EIR and approved the construction of the Sacramento Weir Widening Project on August 27, 2021, and made appropriate CEQA findings for significant and unavoidable impacts for the Sacramento Weir Widening Project as further described in its previously adopted Resolution 2021-07. Subsequently, the Board filed a Notice of Determination with the State Clearinghouse on August 30, 2021. The Board finds that authorization of utilities installation is within the scope of the previously adopted Supplemental EIR and constitutes a subsequent activity to the Supplemental EIR. The Board further finds that no new environmental effects could occur and no new mitigation measures are required pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15162. Therefore, no new environmental document is required pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15168.

These documents, which constitute the administrative record of the Board in this matter, are in the custody of the Executive Officer, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, 3310 El Camino Ave., Suite 170, Sacramento, California 95821.

**Application Number:** 19753

**Applicant:** City of West Sacramento

**Location Description:** The project is located on the right bank landside levee of the Sacramento River at approximately 800 feet southwest from Tower Bridge in West Sacramento, Yolo County (38.57888, -121.51076).

**County:** Yolo

**Stream:** Sacramento River

**Project Description:** To construct a concrete paved emergency access driveway connecting to the existing River Walk Trail from the landside of the levee. The project also included installation of 8-inch fiber roll along the side perimeter for temporary erosion protection, which will be removed once vegetation has been established.

**LMA Endorsement:** Reclamation District 900

**CEQA Finding:** As a responsible agency under CEQA, Board staff has reviewed the Bridge District (Triangle) Specific Plan Supplemental Draft and Final Environmental Impact Report (Supplemental EIR) (State Clearinghouse No. 2008072024, November 2009), the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), and the Statement of Overriding Considerations, prepared by the lead agency, the

City of West Sacramento. The original Bridge District Specific Plan EIR was completed in 1993. The proposed project is covered by the Supplemental EIR.

The City of West Sacramento determined that the project will have a significant effect on the environment and filed a Notice of Determination with the State Clearinghouse and Yolo County Clerk/Recorder on October 8, 2009. The City of West Sacramento incorporated mandatory mitigation measures into the project plans to avoid or mitigate impacts. These mitigation measures, included in the City of West Sacramento's Final Supplemental EIR and MMRP, address impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, hydrology and water quality, public utilities, and recreation, noise, and transportation. These mitigation measures are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of the City of West Sacramento and have been adopted by the City of West Sacramento. The Draft and Final Supplemental EIR found less than significant impacts with mitigation under hydrology for flood related impacts resulting from the proposed project resulting from the proposed project.

In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f), Board staff independently reviewed and considered the City of West Sacramento's Draft and Final Supplemental EIR, MMRP, and Statement of Overriding Considerations which supports the Board's approval of Permit No. 19753 to authorize the proposed project which is within the Board's jurisdiction as it relates to effects on the federal-State facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control, regulated streams, designated floodways, and easements (Adopted Plan of Flood Control). The Board, as a responsible agency, is responsible for mitigating or avoiding only the direct or indirect environmental effects of those parts of the project which it decides to approve (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(g); Public Resources Code Section 21002.1(d)). Here, the Executive Officer's action is limited to approving a Board permit, and the Board's jurisdiction is limited to imposing conditions to mitigate or avoid impacts to the environment under its authority, specifically, the Adopted Plan of Flood Control.

As a responsible agency, Board staff is required to make findings for each significant effect of the project (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Sections 15096(h) and 15091). However, the Draft and Final Supplemental EIR identified less than significant impacts to flood risk with mitigation. Based on staff's review of the Supplemental EIR, there is no substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the project will result in significant impacts related to flood risk, which is the only resource area within the Board's jurisdiction. Therefore, approving a permit does not require additional findings unrelated to flood risk under California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(h), nor is the consideration of alternatives required. When considering the record as a whole, and in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f) and (g), staff recommends that the Executive Officer make responsible agency findings that approval of Permit No. 19753 will not result in any significant adverse impacts related to flood risk. The project will not adversely impact the Adopted Plan of Flood Control; therefore, no conditions or mitigation measures within the Board's jurisdiction are required.

These documents, including project design, are available for review at the Board and the City of West Sacramento's offices. These documents, which constitute the administrative record of the Board in this matter, are in the custody of the Executive Officer, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, 3310 El Camino Ave., Suite 170, Sacramento, California 95821.

## **Application Number: 19908**

**Applicant:** Smart Growth Investors II, LLC

**Location Description:** The project is located on the right bank landside levee of the Sacramento River, approximately 2,600 feet south of Tower Bridge in West Sacramento, Yolo County (38.573931, -121.514957)

**County:** Yolo

**Stream:** Sacramento River

**Project Description:** To construct an emergency vehicles access road that will also serve as an entrance to the river embankment for flood fighting equipment and personnel. The project also includes installation of landscaping, soft-scape, hard-scape and plantings with drip irrigation in the landside levee embankment.

**LMA Endorsement:** Reclamation District 900

**CEQA Finding:** As a responsible agency under CEQA, Board staff has reviewed the Fulcrum Property Development Draft and Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR), Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), and Statement of Overriding Considerations (State Clearinghouse No. 2006012082, October 2008) and the Triangle Specific Plan Draft and Final Supplemental EIR, MMRP, and Statement of Overriding Considerations (State Clearinghouse No. 2008072024, June 2009) prepared by the lead agency, the City of West Sacramento. The proposed project is covered by the Fulcrum Property Development EIR and Triangle Specific Plan Supplemental EIR.

The City of West Sacramento determined that the project will have a significant effect on the environment, filed a Notice of Determination for the Fulcrum Property Development EIR with the Yolo County Clerk/Recorder and with the State Clearinghouse on February 19, 2009, and filed a Notice of Determination for the Triangle Specific Plan Supplemental EIR with the Yolo County Clerk/Recorder and with the State Clearinghouse on October 8, 2009. The City of West Sacramento incorporated mandatory mitigation measures into the project plans to avoid or mitigate impacts. The mitigation measures included in the City of West Sacramento's Fulcrum Property Development EIR and MMRP address impacts aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geology and soils, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, public services, and traffic and transportation. The mitigation measures included in the City of West Sacramento's Triangle Specific Plan Supplemental EIR and MMRP address impacts to aesthetics; air quality; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, soils, and seismicity; hazards and hazardous materials; microwave/radio/radar; housing; hydrology and water quality; public services, utilities, and recreation; noise; and traffic and transportation. These mitigation measures are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of the City of West Sacramento and have been adopted by the City of West Sacramento. The Fulcrum Property Development EIR and the Triangle Specific Plan Supplemental EIR found less than significant impacts with mitigation under hydrology for flood related impacts resulting from the proposed project.

In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f), Board staff independently reviewed and considered the City of West Sacramento's Fulcrum Property Development EIR, MMRP, and Statement of Overriding Considerations and the Triangle Specific Plan Supplemental EIR, MMRP, and Statement of Overriding Considerations, which support the Board's approval of Permit No. 19908 to authorize the proposed project which is within the Board's jurisdiction as it relates to effects on the federal-State facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control, regulated streams, designated floodways, and easements (Adopted Plan of Flood Control). The Board, as a responsible agency, is responsible for mitigating or avoiding only the direct or indirect environmental

effects of those parts of the project which it decides to approve (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(g); Public Resources Code Section 21002.1(d)). Here, the Executive Officer's action is limited to approving a Board permit, and the Board's jurisdiction is limited to imposing conditions to mitigate or avoid impacts to the environment under its authority, specifically, the Adopted Plan of Flood Control.

As a responsible agency, Board staff is required to make findings for each significant effect of the project (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Sections 15096(h) and 15091). However, the Fulcrum Property Development EIR and Triangle Specific Plan Supplemental EIR identified less than significant impacts with mitigation to flood risk. Based on staff's review of the Fulcrum Property Development EIR and Triangle Specific Plan Supplemental EIR, there is no substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the project will result in significant impacts related to flood risk, which is the only resource area within the Board's jurisdiction. Therefore, approving a Board permit does not require additional findings unrelated to flood risk under California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(h), nor is the consideration of alternatives required. When considering the record as a whole, and in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f) and (g), staff recommends that the Executive Officer make responsible agency findings that approval of Permit No. 19908 will not result in any significant adverse impacts related to flood risk. The project will not adversely impact the Adopted Plan of Flood Control; therefore, no conditions or mitigation measures within the Board's jurisdiction are required.

These documents, including project design, are available for review in hard copy at the Board and the City of West Sacramento's offices. These documents, which constitute the administrative record of the Board in this matter, are in the custody of the Executive Officer, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, 3310 El Camino Ave., Suite 170, Sacramento, California 95821.

### **Application Number: 19940**

**Applicant:** Union Pacific Railroad

**Location Description:** The bridge is located at Mile Point 194.52 on the Fresno Subdivision crossing the San Joaquin River approximately 0.87 mile southeast of the intersection of State Route 99 and Avenue 7, in Madera County (36.844, -119.9336).

**County:** Fresno

**Stream:** San Joaquin River

**Project Description:** To authorize an existing 927-foot long, 3 segment bridge constructed in 1914 and 1948 that predates the adopted plan of flood control in 1977. Segment A is a 50-foot-long Deck Plate Girder - Open Deck (DPGOD) at the northern approach, constructed in 1948. Segment B is a 3-span is a 481-foot-long Deck Truss Riveted - Open Deck (DTROD), constructed in 1948, spanning the channel with no in-channel piers. Segment C is a 13-span 396-foot-long DPGOD at the southern approach, constructed in 1914.

**LMA Endorsement:** N/A

**CEQA Finding:** The project is statutorily exempt under the provisions of CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines. The Board's approval involves issuing a permit for replacement of an existing railroad bridge that is related to the institution or increase of passenger or commuter service of rail lines already in use, which is exempt under the statutory exemption of the CEQA California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15275(a).

The project is exempt from CEQA under Class 1, Existing Facilities categorical exemption (CEQA California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15301), because the activity consists of the operation, repair, maintenance, permitting, leasing, licensing or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use. No expansion of existing or former use will occur. Further, none of the exceptions to the exemption in CEQA California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15300.2 apply.

### **Application Number: 20018**

**Applicant:** California Department of Transportation

**Location Description:** The project is located on State Route 46, postmile 34.635, in Kern County (35.61603°N, 119.61590°W).

**County:** Kern

**Stream:** Main Drain Canal

**Project Description:** To authorize the existing bridge on State Route 46 that passes over the Main Drain Canal in Kern County. The bridge was constructed prior to the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (Board) recognizing the Main Drain Canal as a Board-regulated stream.

**LMA Endorsement:** N/A

**CEQA Finding:** The project is exempt from CEQA under the Class 1, Existing Facilities categorical exemption (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15301), because the activity consists of the operation, repair, maintenance, permitting, leasing, licensing or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use. No expansion of existing or former use will occur. Further, none of the exceptions to the exemption in California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15300.2 apply.

### **Application Number: 20051**

**Applicant:** California Department of Fish and Wildlife

**Location Description:** This project is located at 14415 Sierra Way, Kernville, CA 93238, in Kern County (35.773866, -118.433795).

**County:** Kern

**Stream:** Kern River

**Project Description:** To remove and replace the existing Kern River Hatchery siphon and pipeline, within the Kern River Designated Floodway, operated by California Department of Fish and Wildlife, which is failing and unable to supply water necessary for hatchery operations. The project includes building a temporary coffer dam for demolishing and removing the existing inlet, removal of the old above ground pipeline, constructing a new intake structure that includes a 10-ft wide settling basin, a concrete incased connection to a new 42-inch, HDPE, underground siphon pipeline in the same alignment and locations, upgrading the existing pump vault, upgrading the diversion structure and an outlet structure from the open ditch to a new underground pipe. The inlet area will have stairs leading to a concrete pad with a 10-ft tall chain link fence parallel to the steps and a 5-ft tall chain link fence parallel to the river.

**LMA Endorsement:** N/A

**CEQA Finding:** As a responsible agency under CEQA, Board staff has reviewed the Kern River Hatchery Siphon Replacement Project Draft and the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) (State Clearinghouse No. 2024070895, March 2025), and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), prepared by the lead agency, The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). The proposed project is covered by the IS/MND. CDFW determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment and filed a Notice of Determination with the State Clearinghouse on April 14, 2025. CDFW incorporated mandatory mitigation measures into the project plans to avoid or mitigate impacts. These mitigation measures, included in CDFW's IS/MND and MMRP, address impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology/soils, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology/water quality, land use/planning, public services, transportation, tribal cultural resources, and wildlife. These mitigation measures are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of, and have been adopted by, CDFW. The IS/MND found less than significant impacts under hydrology for flood-related impacts associated with the proposed project.

In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f), Board staff considered CDFW's IS/MND, which supports the Board's approval of Permit No. 20051 to authorize the proposed project which is within the Board's jurisdiction as it relates to effects on the federal-State facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control, regulated streams, designated floodways, and easements (Adopted Plan of Flood Control). The Board, as a responsible agency, is responsible for mitigating or avoiding only the direct or indirect environmental effects of those parts of the project which it decides to approve (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(g); Public Resources Code Section 21002.1(d)). Here, the Executive Officer's action is limited to approving a Board permit, and the Board's jurisdiction is limited to imposing conditions to mitigate or avoid impacts to the environment under its authority, specifically the Adopted Plan of Flood Control.

In addition to the discussion within the IS/MND related to flood risk, Board staff reviewed hydraulic analyses to determine if there will be flood impacts as a result of the project. The Hydraulic Impact Analysis prepared by BKF Engineers (November 2025) found the proposed project is not expected to have a significant increase to flood risk in the surrounding area. Board staff has reviewed the hydraulic analyses, agree with the methodology and findings, and has determined that impacts to flood risk will be less than significant. When considering the record as a whole, including the hydraulic analyses, and in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f) and (g), staff recommends that the Executive Officer make responsible agency findings that approval of Permit No. 20051 will not result in any significant adverse impacts related to flood risk. The project will not adversely impact the Adopted Plan of Flood Control; therefore, no conditions or mitigation measures within the Board's jurisdiction are required.

These documents, including project design, are available for review at the Board and CDFW's offices. These documents which constitute the administrative record of the Board in this matter are in the custody of the Executive Officer, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, 3310 El Camino Ave., Suite 170, Sacramento, California 95821.

**Application Number:** 20054

**Applicant:** Western Canal Water District

**Location Description:** The Front Slide Gates (FSG) is positioned in the Butte Basin and located in Butte County, south of the town of Durham and north of the town of Richvale. The FSG spans Little Butte Creek just north of Nelson Road, in Butte County (39.547281, -121.903827).

**County:** Butte

**Stream:** Butte Creek

**Project Description:** To remove the existing Front Slide Gates (FSG) structure and replace it with a new FSG structure across the Little Butte Creek within Butte Basin floodway. Construction features also include: a 12-foot-wide concrete driveway and two 12-foot-wide, 57-foot-long ramps for vehicular access from both embankments to the structure floor; a Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) control building along with a 176-foot-wide cast-in-place concrete foundation across the Little Butte Creek; intermediate piers to support eight 15-foot-wide overshot gates, one 15-foot-wide AquaSystems Langemann gate, and one 6-foot-wide sluice gate; vertical cutoff walls extending up to five feet below the creek invert, and concrete wingwalls on both upstream and downstream sides; and an eight-foot-wide catwalk spanning 150 feet of the structure equipped with galvanized steel hand railings. Additional improvements include raising and widening embankment roads upstream and downstream using compacted earth fill, sourced from excavation or imported if needed. To mitigate erosion, 300 cubic yards of riprap will be placed upstream of the new structure, and 1,000 cubic yards of riprap or similar stabilization measures will be installed within the channel and side slopes downstream. A temporary cofferdam will be installed and removed upon project completion.

**LMA Endorsement:** N/A

**CEQA Finding:** As a responsible agency under CEQA, Board staff has reviewed the Front Slide Gates Replacement Project Draft and the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) (State Clearinghouse No. 2024120443, December 2024), and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), prepared by the lead agency, Western Canal Water District. The proposed project is covered by the IS/MND. The Western Canal Water District determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment and filed a Notice of Determination with the State Clearinghouse and the Butte County Clerk/Recorder on January 21, 2025. The Western Canal Water District incorporated mandatory mitigation measures into the project plans to avoid or mitigate impacts. These mitigation measures, included in the Western Canal Water District IS/MND and MMRP, address impacts to air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geology and soils, and Tribal cultural resources. These mitigation measures are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of the Western Canal Water District and have been adopted by the Western Canal Water District. The IS/MND found no impact under hydrology for flood-related impacts associated with the proposed project.

In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f), Board staff considered the Western Canal Water District's IS/MND, which supports the Board's approval of Permit No. 20054 to authorize work to replace the front slide gates, and other accessory features, which is within the Board's jurisdiction as it relates to effects on the federal-State facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control, regulated streams, designated floodways, and easements (Adopted Plan of Flood Control). The Board, as a responsible agency, is responsible for mitigating or avoiding only the direct or indirect environmental effects of those parts of the project which it decides to approve (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(g); Public Resources Code Section 21002.1(d)). Here, the Executive Officer's action is limited to approving a Board permit, and the Board's jurisdiction is limited to imposing conditions to mitigate or avoid impacts to the environment under its authority, specifically the Adopted Plan of Flood Control.

In addition to the discussion within the IS/MND related to flood risk, Board staff reviewed hydraulic analyses to determine if there will be flood impacts as a result of the project. The hydraulic blockage analysis prepared by David's Engineering (September 12, 2025) found the proposed project will result in a hydraulic blockage of 0.73%. The study concluded that the project would result in less than

1% at the design discharge. Board staff has reviewed the hydraulic analyses, agree with the methodology and findings, and has determined that impacts to flood risk will be less than significant. When considering the record as a whole, including the hydraulic analyses, and in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(f) and (g), staff recommends that the Executive Officer make responsible agency findings that approval of Permit No. 20054 will not result in any significant adverse impacts related to flood risk. The project will not adversely impact the Adopted Plan of Flood Control; therefore, no conditions or mitigation measures within the Board's jurisdiction are required.

These documents, including project design, are available for review at the Board and the Western Canal Water District's offices. These documents which constitute the administrative record of the Board in this matter are in the custody of the Executive Officer, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, 3310 El Camino Ave., Suite 170, Sacramento, California 95821.

**Application Number: 20057**

**Applicant:** Sierra Health Foundation

**Location Description:** The project is located at 1321 Garden Highway, in Sacramento County (38.603787, -121.514112).

**County:** Sacramento

**Stream:** Sacramento River

**Project Description:** To relocate 108 linear feet of an existing 6-inch-diameter sewer pipeline (CVFPB Permit No. 16083) that crosses the levee at 1321 Garden Highway, Sacramento. The new sewer line will be a 6-inch-diameter American Water Works Association (AWWA) C200 steel pipe, with an invert elevation of 36.35 feet and located 3.6 feet below the crown of the levee. The existing manhole at the waterside connection point will be replaced with a new 48-inch MH-03 manhole in compliance with Sacramento Area Sewer District standards. A new 6-inch gate valve and valve box will be installed along the alignment near the new manhole, and a marker bollard will be installed in the vicinity of the new manhole. This work is associated with Natomas Basin Civil Works Project, Reach A construction.

**LMA Endorsement:** Reclamation District 1000

**CEQA Finding:** As a responsible agency under CEQA, Board staff has reviewed the American River Watershed Common Features Project/Natomas Post-authorization Change Report/Natomas Levee Improvement Program (NLIP), Phase 4b Landside Improvements Project (EIR) (State Clearinghouse No. 2009112025, October 2010), the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), and Statement of Overriding Considerations, prepared by the lead agency, the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency (SAFCA).

The Board, as a responsible agency, is responsible for mitigating or avoiding only the direct or indirect environmental effects of those parts of the project which it decides to approve (CEQA California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15096(g); CEQA Public Resources Code Section 21002.1(d)). Here, the Executive Officer's action is limited to approving a Board permit, and the Board's jurisdiction is limited to imposing conditions to mitigate or avoid impacts to the environment under its authority, specifically the Adopted Plan of Flood Control.

The Board approved the construction of the NLIP Phase 4b Landside Improvements Project on June 26, 2016, and made appropriate CEQA findings for significant and unavoidable impacts for the NLIP

Phase 4b Landside Improvements Project as further described in its previously adopted Resolution 2016-13. Subsequently, the Board filed a Notice of Determination with the State Clearinghouse on June 29, 2016. The Board finds that authorization of the following is within the scope of the previously adopted NLIP Phase 4b Landside Improvements Project and constitutes a subsequent activity to the EIR: (1) Relocation and replacement of 108 feet of an existing 6-inch diameter sewer pipeline with a new sewer line; (2) replacement of an existing manhole with a new manhole; (3) installation of a new gate valve and valve box, and marker bollard near the new manhole. The Board further finds that no new environmental effects could occur and no new mitigation measures are required pursuant to CEQA California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15162. Therefore, no new environmental document is required pursuant to CEQA California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15168.

These documents, including project design, are available for review i at the Board and SAFCA's offices. These documents, which constitute the administrative record of the Board in this matter, are in the custody of the Executive Officer, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, 3310 El Camino Ave., Suite 170, Sacramento, California 95821.

### **Application Number: 20061**

**Applicant:** AT&T

**Location Description:** The project is located along the landside levee toe of Old River Road. RD 0537, unit No. 01, Levee mile 3.45, in Yolo County (38.611066, -121.561899).

**County:** Yolo

**Stream:** Sacramento River and Bypass, Yolo Bypass

**Project Description:** AT&T to relocate existing overhead and underground utility lines from a temporary location to a permanent placement as part of the Sacramento Weir Widening Project. The lines will use jointly owned poles by both AT&T and PG&E. Approximately 1,387 linear feet will attach to 9 new poles 50-ft in height, along the landside levee toe and approximately 1,600 ft will attach to the new Sacramento Weir bridge.

**LMA Endorsement:** Reclamation Districts 537, 785, 900, 1000, and Sacramento Maintenance Yard

**CEQA Finding:** As the lead agency under CEQA, the Board certified the American River Common Features General Re-Evaluation Report Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (ARCF GRR EIR/EIS) (State Clearinghouse No. 2005072046) through Board Resolution 2016-04 including a Statement of Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program and filed a Notice of Determination on June 9, 2016 approving the ARCF Project. To address project refinements since publication of the ARCF GRR EIS/EIR, the U.S Army Corps of Engineers and the Board prepared a joint Supplemental EIS/EIR for the Sacramento Weir Widening Project (State Clearinghouse No. 2020070575).

The Board certified the Supplemental EIS/EIR and approved the construction of the Sacramento Weir Widening Project on August 27, 2021, and made appropriate CEQA findings for significant and unavoidable impacts for the Sacramento Weir Widening Project as further described in its previously adopted Resolution 2021-07. Subsequently, the Board filed a Notice of Determination with the State Clearinghouse on August 30, 2021. The Board finds that authorization of utilities installation is within the scope of the previously adopted Supplemental EIR and constitutes a subsequent activity to the Supplemental EIR. The Board further finds that no new environmental effects could occur and no new mitigation measures are required pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15162.

Therefore, no new environmental document is required pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15168.

These documents, which constitute the administrative record of the Board in this matter, are in the custody of the Executive Officer, Central Valley Flood Protection Board, 3310 El Camino Ave., Suite 170, Sacramento, California 95821.