

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

DEPARTMENT NAME Central Valley Flood Protection Board	CONTACT PERSON Jit Dua	EMAIL ADDRESS jit.dua@cvflood.ca.gov	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-574-1776
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Definitions and Standards			NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z

**A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> a. Impacts business and/or employees   | <input type="checkbox"/> e. Imposes reporting requirements                         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. Impacts small businesses | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> c. Impacts jobs or occupations         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> g. Impacts individuals                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> d. Impacts California competitiveness  | <input type="checkbox"/> h. None of the above (Explain below):                     |

***If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.******If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.***

2. The Central Valley Flood Protection Board estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:  
(Agency/Department)

- Below \$10 million  
 Between \$10 and \$25 million  
 Between \$25 and \$50 million  
 Over \$50 million *[If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]*

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: UnknownDescribe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Residential, agriculture.Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: Unknown4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: 0 eliminated: 0Explain: Financial impacts are small enough to not create or eliminate any businesses.5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:  Statewide  
 Local or regional (List areas): California Central Valley6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0 and eliminated: 0Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: No impact to jobs or occupations.7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?  YES  NO

If YES, explain briefly: \_\_\_\_\_

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)****B. ESTIMATED COSTS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Unknowna. Initial costs for a small business: \$ 1,500 - 3,500 Annual ongoing costs: \$ Varies Years: Variesb. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 1,500 - 3,500 Annual ongoing costs: \$ Varies Years: Variesc. Initial costs for an individual: \$ 1,500 - 3,500 Annual ongoing costs: \$ Varies Years: Variesd. Describe other economic costs that may occur: This is a technical update that does not impose any new fees, but may subject additional private persons/businesses to the Board's already existing permit application/inspection fee(s).2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Unknown3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. *Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.* \$ 04. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  YES  NO

If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Number of units: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?  YES  NOExplain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: The Board is statutorily mandated to establish and enforce standards for the maintenance and operation of flood control system facilities and encroachments thereto.Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ 0**C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS** *Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: Increased flood risk reduction for the safety and welfare of the public, property, infrastructure, economy, wildlife and habitat.2. Are the benefits the result of:  specific statutory requirements, or  goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?Explain: The Board is required to establish design/construction standards for flood control system projects / encroachments.3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Unknown4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: None.**D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: There were no reasonable alternatives that have been considered or brought to the Board's attention which would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed.

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

Regulation: Benefit: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Cost: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Cost: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Cost: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  YES  NO

Explain: Specific standards are mandated to ensure consistency of project design and construction to maximize efficiency in the permit application review, inspection, and approval processes in furtherance of public safety and welfare mandates.

**E. MAJOR REGULATIONS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

***California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.***

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises **exceed \$10 million**?  YES  NO

***If YES, complete E2. and E3  
If NO, skip to E4***

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

Alternative 1: \_\_\_\_\_  
Alternative 2: \_\_\_\_\_

*(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)*

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

Regulation: Total Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?

YES  NO

*If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.*

5. Briefly describe the following:

The increase or decrease of investment in the State: \_\_\_\_\_

The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: \_\_\_\_\_

The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: \_\_\_\_\_

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

**A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)  
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

a. Funding provided in \_\_\_\_\_  
Budget Act of \_\_\_\_\_ or Chapter \_\_\_\_\_, Statutes of \_\_\_\_\_

b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of \_\_\_\_\_  
Fiscal Year: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)  
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

*Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:*

a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in \_\_\_\_\_

b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the \_\_\_\_\_ Court.  
Case of: \_\_\_\_\_ vs. \_\_\_\_\_

c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Election: \_\_\_\_\_

d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  
Local entity(s) affected: \_\_\_\_\_

e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: \_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized by Section: \_\_\_\_\_ of the \_\_\_\_\_ Code;

f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;

g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in \_\_\_\_\_

3. Annual Savings. (approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

6. Other. Explain \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

**B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

*It is anticipated that State agencies will:*

a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the \_\_\_\_\_ Fiscal Year

2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

4. Other. Explain \_\_\_\_\_

**C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_


2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

4. Other. Explain \_\_\_\_\_

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE



DATE

11/17/2020

*The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.*

AGENCY SECRETARY

 Bryan Cash

DATE

11/17/2020

*Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.*

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER



DATE

### Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: 46FEEDD7FA0640FA9E8F5575F550B09B

Status: Completed

Subject: Please DocuSign: STD 399 Title 23 - Art. 8.pdf

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 5

Signatures: 1

Envelope Originator:

Certificate Pages: 2

Initials: 0

Kassi Shepard

AutoNav: Enabled

1416 9th St

Enveloped Stamping: Enabled

Sacramento, CA 95814

Time Zone: (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)

Kassi.Shepard@resources.ca.gov

IP Address: 136.200.53.16

### Record Tracking

Status: Original

Holder: Kassi Shepard

Location: DocuSign

11/17/2020 8:11:15 AM

Kassi.Shepard@resources.ca.gov

### Signer Events

#### Signature

#### Timestamp


Bryan Cash

Bryan.cash@resources.ca.gov

Assistant Secretary for Administration and Finance

Natural Resources Agency

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication  
(None)



Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style  
Using IP Address: 136.200.53.18

Sent: 11/17/2020 8:14:54 AM

Viewed: 11/17/2020 8:48:33 AM

Signed: 11/17/2020 8:48:39 AM

#### Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Not Offered via DocuSign

### In Person Signer Events

#### Signature

#### Timestamp

### Editor Delivery Events

#### Status

#### Timestamp

### Agent Delivery Events

#### Status

#### Timestamp

### Intermediary Delivery Events

#### Status

#### Timestamp

### Certified Delivery Events

#### Status

#### Timestamp

### Carbon Copy Events

#### Status

#### Timestamp

Kassi Shepard

kassi.shepard@resources.ca.gov

California Natural Resources Agency

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication  
(None)

COPIED

Sent: 11/17/2020 8:14:54 AM

#### Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Not Offered via DocuSign

kathryn baines

Kathryn.Baines@CVFlood.ca.gov

Department of Water Resources

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication  
(None)

COPIED

Sent: 11/17/2020 8:14:54 AM

Viewed: 11/17/2020 8:20:06 AM

#### Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Not Offered via DocuSign

### Witness Events

#### Signature

#### Timestamp

### Notary Events

#### Signature

#### Timestamp

**Envelope Summary Events****Status****Timestamps**

Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	11/17/2020 8:14:54 AM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	11/17/2020 8:48:33 AM
Signing Complete	Security Checked	11/17/2020 8:48:39 AM
Completed	Security Checked	11/17/2020 8:48:39 AM

**Payment Events****Status****Timestamps**