"Celebrating 101 Years of Flood Management"

CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD

California Natural Resources Agency – State of California 3310 El Camino Avenue, Room 151
Sacramento, California 95821
Phone (916) 574-0609 – Fax (916) 574-0682
http://www.cvfpb.ca.gov

BOARD MEMBERS

Bill Edgar, President
Teri Rie, Vice-President
Jane Dolan, Secretary
Ben Carter, Member
Emma Suarez, Member
Mike Villines, Member
Tim Ramirez, Member
Jared Huffman, Ex Officio Member
Fran Pavley, Ex Officio Member

BOARD STAFF

Jay Punia, Executive Officer Len Marino, Chief Engineer Eric Butler, Supervising Engineer Curt Taras, Supervising Engineer Lorraine Pendlebury, Staff Analyst Amber Woertink, Staff Assistant

BOARD COUNSEL

Deborah Smith, Legal Counsel

AGENDA

CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION PLAN PUBLIC OUTREACH HEARINGS

Wednesday, April 11, 2012 – 3:00 PM Yolo County Board of Supervisors Chambers, Room 206 625 Court Street, Woodland, CA 95695

DWR will host a poster/question session for the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (DPEIR) from 1:00-2:45 PM

NOTE: THE BOARD WILL CONSIDER TIMED ITEMS AS CLOSE AS POSSIBLE TO THE LISTED TIME, BUT NOT BEFORE THE TIME SPECIFIED. UNTIMED ITEMS MAY BE HEARD IN <u>ANY</u> ORDER.

- 1. ROLL CALL
- 2.* APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 3. BRIEF OVERVIEW THE CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION PLAN (DWR staff)
- 4. STAFF TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
 - A. Technical Review Briefing (Eric Butler and Supporting Board Staff)

Staff will report to the Board on their review and observations on the Central Valley Flood Protection Plan, documents incorporated by reference, and technical attachments.

*action item

5. FOCUS POINT DISCUSSIONS ON CVFPP AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

A. Statement of Plan Vision (Board and Public)

Issue: Is the proposed Plan in need of a vision statement which helps set goals and guide priorities? If yes, what should the vision statement say?

B. Multi-Benefit Projects (Board and Public)

Issue: Does the proposed Plan adequately articulate how future flood protection projects will incorporate, if at all, multiple benefits, such as flood protection, water supply, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and economic vitality?

C. Existing System Maintenance / Improvement and Utilization of Existing Storage Facilities / Basins (Board and Public)

Issue: Does the proposed Plan properly consider the role – and associated costbenefits - of enhancing the current system through maintenance and targeted improvements *versus* an emphasis on new, more expensive multi-benefit alternatives?

D. <u>Urban / Urbanizing Area Compliance with Senate Bill 5 Planning Requirements</u> (Board and Public)

Issue: How will local agencies comply with urban/urbanizing requirements outlined in Senate Bill 5 and does the proposed Plan provide the framework necessary for urban areas to meet their new statutorily-mandated planning obligations?

E. Rural Versus Urban Flood Protection (Board and Public)

Issue: Urban/urbanizing areas are provided assurances by the proposed Plan and SB 5 to receive 200-year level of flood protection, but are similar safety and funding assurances lacking when it comes to rural communities?

F. Agriculture Land Conversion (Board and Public)

Issue: Has the proposed Plan clearly articulated the scope of possible agricultural farmland conversion for flood control purposes? Has the proposed Plan identified how landowners will be compensated for farmland conversion or use? Has the proposed Plan identified all possible uses – besides public safety - of farmland that is taken out of production?

G. Bypass Proposals and Other Regional Issues (Board and Public)

Issue: To what extent has data been collected regarding the widening of existing - or proposal for new - bypasses shown in the proposed Plan? Can future plans for regional community outreach and information gathering be incorporated in the proposed Plan?

Central Valley Flood Protection Board Meeting – April 11, 2012 Page 3

H. Funding (Board and Public)

Issue: What is the expected source of funding for proposed Plan implementation? Are partnership opportunities anticipated? How will the prioritization of spending be determined and will public outreach be included in those efforts?

I. Adoption Process and Additional Review (Board and Public)

Issue: In adopting the proposed Plan should the Board consider adopting all supporting documents, or only some but not others? Should the Board adopt a schedule relating to regional planning and implementation?

- 6. DRAFT PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (DPEIR) 4:30 PM (DWR staff)
 - A. Formal Presentation of DPEIR (DWR staff)

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) staff will formally present the PEIR to the Board and public.

- B. Public Comment on the DPEIR
- 7. ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENTS
- 8. PUBLIC PROCESS FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION PLAN (Board staff)
- 9. ADJOURN