ASSESSOR RECORDER COUNTY CLERK KENNETH W. BLAKEMORE

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION PM 1: 27

Office of Planning and Research AN JOAQUIN COUNTROM: TO: **(X)** 1400 Tenth Street, Room 121

Sacramento, CA 95814

San Joaquin County **Community Development Department** 1810 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, CA 95205

() State Department of Fish and Game Fiscal and Administrative Services Branch 1416 Ninth Street

Phone: 209-468-3120

Sacramento, CA 95814

(X) County Clerk, San Joaquin County

SUBJECT:

FILING OF NOTICE OF DETERMINATION IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTIONS 21152 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE

FILE NUMBER: PA-1100031

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER: N/A

PROJECT TITLE, DESCRIPTION, & LOCATION:

SITE APPROVAL NO. PA-1100031 OF BURLINGTON NORTHERN & SANTA FE RAILROAD for grading to replace an existing Burlington Northern & Santa Fe railway bridge within (OS/RC) resource conservation area of the General Plan. All work is to be done within the existing railroad right-of-way crossing Lone Tree Creek. No property acquisition is required for this property. The new replacement structure will consist of a single track bridge on the existing alignment. This property is not under Williamson Act contract. The property is zoned AG-40, and the General Plan designation is A/G & OS/RC. The project site is located on the west side of Sexton Road, 2000 feet south of Lone Tree Road, northwest of Escalon (APN/Address: 205-240-24; 15405 S. Sexton Road, Escalon) (Supervisorial District 4).

LEAD AGENCY: San Joaquin County Community Development Department. TELEPHONE NO.: (209) 468-3120

This is to advise that the San Joaquin County Community Development Department has approved the above-described project on April 11, 2011, and has made the following determinations regarding the above-described project:

- The project will not have a significant effect on the environment. 1.
- A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. 2.
- Findings were not adopted pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. 3.

non McCiary

- A Statement of Overriding Considerations was not adopted for this project. 4.
- Mitigation measures were not adopted as a condition of the approval of the project. 5.

This is to certify that the complete record of project approval is available for review by the general public at the office of the San Joaquin County Community Development Department, 1810 East Hazelton Avenue, Stockton, CA 95205.

SIGNATURE:

NAME: Byron McCrary

DATE:

TITLE: Deputy County Clerk

Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, P.O. Box 3044, Sacramento For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sa	o, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 sch #
Project Title: PA-1100031	•
Lead Agency: SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY COMMUNITY D	DEVELOPMENT Contact Person: Rick Griffin
Mailing Address: 1810 E. Hazelton Avenue	Phone: (209) 468-3154
City: Stockton	
City. dicordin	
Project Location: County: San Joaquin	
Cross Streets: Sexton/Lone Tree	Zip Code: 95320
	'"N/°'W Total Acres: 0.1
Assessor's Parcel No.: 205-240-24	a CE m MADiable D 10 D 0E
Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: HWY 120	
Airports:	
7 in portio.	
Document Type: CEQA: NOP Draft EIR Early Cons Supplement/Subsequent Neg Dec (Prior SCH No.) Mit Neg Dec Other:	☐ Draft EIS ☐ Other: Initial Study
Local Action Type:	
☐ General Plan Update ☐ Specific Plan ☐ General Plan Amendment ☐ Master Plan ☐ General Plan Element ☐ Planned Unit Developm ☐ Community Plan ☐ Site Plan	Rezone
Development Type:	
Residential: Units Acres Office: Sq.ft. Acres Employee Commercial: Sq.ft. Acres Employee Industrial: Sq.ft. Acres Employee Educational: Recreational: Water Facilities: Type MGD	s Mining: Mineral s Power: Type MW Waste Treatment: Type MGD Hazardous Waste: Type
Project Issues Discussed in Document:	
Aesthetic/Visual Fiscal Flood Plain/Flooding Forest Land/Fire Hazar Geologic/Seismic Minerals Minerals Noise Drainage/Absorption Population/Housing Balance/Facilities	☐ Sewer Capacity ☑ Wetland/Riparian ☐ Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading ☐ Growth Inducement ☐ Solid Waste ☑ Land Use Ilance ☐ Toxic/Hazardous ☐ Cumulative Effects
Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation: Existing BNSF railroad track and bridge; zoned AG-40;	General Plan designation is A/G
within the (OS/RC) Resource Conservation area of the way crossing Lone Tree Creek. The existing and propose	ecessary) To replace an existing Burlington Northern & Santa Fe railway bridge general plan. All work will be done within the existing railroad right-of-sed bridges are above the 100-year flood zone. No property acquisition is turn will consist of a single track bridge on existing alignment.

Rev	iewing Agencies Checklist		
Lead If you	Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse distribute have already sent your document to the agency pleas	bution by se denote	marking agencies below with and "X". that with an "S".
	Air Resources Board		Office of Emergency Services
	Boating & Waterways, Department of		Office of Historic Preservation
***	California Highway Patrol		Office of Public School Construction
X			Parks & Recreation, Department of
	Caltrans Division of Aeronautics		Pesticide Regulation, Department of
	Caltrans Planning	X	
			Regional WQCB #
-			Resources Agency
	-	******	S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Comm.
	Colorado River Board		San Gabriel & Lower L.A. Rivers & Mtns. Conservancy
	Conservation, Department of		San Joaquin River Conservancy
			Santa Monica Mtns. Conservancy
			State Lands Commission
	Education, Department of	***************************************	SWRCB: Clean Water Grants
	Energy Commission	***************************************	SWRCB: Water Quality
X			SWRCB: Water Rights
	Food & Agriculture, Department of		Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
	Forestry and Fire Protection, Department of		Toxic Substances Control, Department of
			Water Resources, Department of
	Health Services, Department of		
	Housing & Community Development		Other:
	Integrated Waste Management Board		Other:
	Native American Heritage Commission		
	I Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agending Date		ng Date April 18, 2011
Lead	Agency (Complete if applicable):		
Cons	ulting Firm:	Appl	icant: Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad
	ress:	Addr	ess: 4515 Kansan Avenue
	State/Zip:		State/Zip: Kansas City, KS 66106-1199
	act:		e: (913) 551-4192
Phon	e:	_	
Sign	ature of Lead Agency Representative:	rh C	Date: March 15, 20

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 21161, Public Resources Code.

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

TO:

Office of Planning & Research
P. O. Box 3044

Sacramento, California 95812-3044

X County Clerk, County of San Joaquin

FROM: San Joaquin County Community Development Department

1810 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, California 95205

PROJECT TITLE: SITE APPROVAL NO. PA-1100031

PROPONENT: BURLINGTON NORTHERN & SANTA FE RAILROAD

PROJECT LOCATION:

On the west side of Sexton Road, 2000 feet south of Lone Tree Road, northwest of Escalon (APN/Address: 205-240-24; 15405 S. Sexton Road, Escalon) (Supervisorial District 4)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

A Site Approval application for grading to replace an existing Burlington Northern & Santa Fe railway bridge within (OS/RC) resource conservation area of the General Plan. All work is to be done within the existing railroad right-of-way crossing Lone Tree Creek. No property acquisition is required for this property. The new replacement structure will consist of a single track bridge on the existing alignment. This property is not under Williamson Act contract. The property is zoned AG-40, and the General Plan designation is A/G & OS/RC.

Based on the attached Initial Study, it has been found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

Date: (

Contact Person: RICK GRIFFIN

Phone: (209) 468-3154

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

INITIAL STUDY

FILE NO.:	PA-1100031	PROJECT A	PPLICANT: _	BURL	INGTON N	ORTHERN	
PROJECT D	ESCRIPTION: S	ITE APPROVAL AF	PLICATION F	OR GRA	ADING TO	REPLACE A	1
		THERN & SANTA					_
		AREA OF THE GE					
		OAD RIGHT-OF-W					
		REQUIRED FOR TI					
		OF A SINGLE TRA					
		HE WEST SIDE OF					
		VEST OF ESCALO					
	N ACT CONTRAC						
WILLIAMOU	N AOT CONTINO			***************************************			
	RECOMME	NDED ENVIRONM	ENTAL DETER	RMINATI	ON:		
		could not have a sig					
envi	ronment, and a <u>Ne</u>	gative Declaration	will be prepare	d.		_X_	
		project could have					
		not be a significan					
	•	s described on an a			en		
add	ed to the project. A	Negative Declarati	<u>on</u> will be prep	area.			
The		baya a significa	nt offest on th	o onviror	mont		
		nay have a significa <u>act Report</u> is requir		e enviroi	mient,		
an <u>i</u>	<u>Environmental imp</u>	act Neport is requir	eu.			<u></u>	
ASSESSOR	PARCEL NO.: 2	05-240-24	ACR	ES:	0.1		
GENERAL P	PI AN: A/G an	d Resource Conser	vation ZON	ING:	AG-40		
OLIVEICALI		a recodured dericer	<u> </u>				
		(topography; uses) ge across Lone Tre		d right-of	-way; exist	ing bridge to b	<u>e</u>
replaced with	Thew at-grade bild	ge across cone tre	O OICCR				
POTENTIAL	PODIII ATION N	UMBER OF DWEL	ING UNITS	OR SOLL	ARF FOO	TAGE OF	
	N.I In	OMBLICO DWLL				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
00L(0)	140 Granges						
SLIBBOLING	ING I AND HSES.						
	agriculture						
	agriculture agriculture						
Fact	one residence						
	agriculture						
v v e s t	agnoulture						

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS:

1.	Does it appear that any environmental feature of the project will generate significant public concern or controversy?					
		☐ Yes 🗵	☑ No	Nature of co	ncern(s):	
2.		Will the pr	oject re	equire approv	val or permits by agencies other than the County?	
		☐ Yes 🗵	☑ No	Agency nam	e(s):	
3.		Is the proj	ect with	nin the Spher	re of Influence, or within two miles, of any city?	
		⊠Yes □] No	City:	Escalon	
EN'	VIR	ONMENTA	AL IMP	ACTS:		
ma	y b	e a signifi	cant a	cked in situat dverse impa <u>delines,</u> Sec.	ions where there is <u>substantial</u> <u>evidence</u> to indicate act on the environment if there is no change in the 15064)	that there ne project
					end of the Initial Study for explanation of any impact th an asterisk (*).	s checked
	urce act		llowing	sources of	information have been used in determining envi	ronmental
all FEI end pla the pre Add	Original source materials and maps on file in the Community Development Department including: all County and City general plans and community plans; assessor parcel books; various local and FEMA flood zone maps; service district maps; maps of geologic instability; maps and reports on endangered species such as the Natural Diversity Data Base; noise contour maps; specific roadway plans; maps and/or records of archeological/historic resources; soil reports and maps; etc. Many of these original source materials have been collected from other public agencies or from previously prepared EIR's and other technical studies. Additional standard sources, which should be specifically cited below, include on-site visits by staff (note date); staff knowledge or experience; and independent environmental studies submitted to the County as part of the project application (note report title, date, and consultant).					
1.	<u>Wa</u>	<u>iter</u> :				
	a.	ls any por Flood zon			ubject to flood hazard?	⊠ No*
	b.	Will the property or			ction of surface or ground water Yes	⊠ No
	C.				ases to surface, channel or sto drainage patterns and streams?	⊠ No
	d.	Will the priver, or b	-		on of or sedimentation to a channel, ☐Yes	⊠ No*

Otr	Other sources used:							
2.	<u>Ea</u>	<u>rth</u> :						
	a.	Will the project result in or be subject to potentially hazardous geologic or soils conditions on or immediately adjoining the site (slides, springs, erosion, liquefaction, earthquake faults; steep slopes, septic tank limitations)?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
	b.	Will the project involve substantial grading which could result in secondary impacts (consider amount, steepness, and visibility of proposed slopes; consider effect of grading on trees and creek channels)?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
	C.	Will there be conversion of prime farmland (over 40 acres and not shown for development in the General Plan)?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
Otl	ner :	sources used:						
3.	Pla	ant/Animal Life:						
	a.	Will there be a reduction or disturbance to any habitat for plants and animals (including removal or disturbance of trees, riparian areas, or migration routes)?	∐Yes	⊠ No*				
	b.	Will the project impact any rare, endangered, threatened, or recreational species located on or near the site? (Check the Natural Diversity Data Base)	∐Yes	⊠ No*				
Otl	her	sources used:						
4.	<u>Ai</u>	<u>r/Climate</u> :						
	a.	Will the project make a significant contribution to the deterioration of existing air quality, including creation of objectionable odors; will future project residents be subjected to significant pollution levels?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
	b.	Will the project result in any impact to current climatic conditions? (Consider the introduction of water features, loss of wetland, etc.)	∐Yes	⊠ No				
Ot	her	sources used:						
5.	No	oise:						
	a.	Will the project expose people to high noise or vibration levels (over 65db/Ldn for residential uses or 75db/Ldn for industrial uses at the property line)? (Check 2010 projected noise contours.)	∐Yes	⊠ No				
	b.	Will the project result in increased noise or vibration levels?	∐Yes	⊠ No				
Ot	her	sources used:						

6.	<u>En</u>	<u>ergy/Natural Resources</u> :		
	a.	Will the project use substantial amounts of fuel or energy, require a substantial increase in demand upon existing sources, or require the development of new sources of energy?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Will the project affect the potential use, extraction, conservation, or depletion of a natural resource (other than agricultural land)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Oth	ner s	sources used:		
7.	<u>Ha</u>	zards:	•	
	a.	Will the project create a risk of explosion; release, generate or store Any hazardous substances; or cause other dangers to public health and safety?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Are there any known hazardous substances located within 500 feet of the boundaries of this project, if in an existing or proposed residential area (this could include possible groundwater contamination)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project result in interference with, or need for, emergency plans?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Otl	her	sources used:		
8.	<u>Uti</u>	lities and Public Service:		
	a.	**Will the project require alteration of, addition to, or the need for new utility systems (water, sewer, drainage, solid waste), including a Sphere of Influence or district boundary change?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	**Will the project result in the need for or the expansion of the following services: fire and police protection, schools, parks and recreation, libraries, roads, flood control, solid waste or other public works facilities, public transit, or governmental services?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project adversely impact existing recreational/park opportunities or require new park/recreation opportunities?	∐Yes	⊠ No
		 "Yes" answers to these two questions and 9 (b) below will require nal discussion, but do not necessarily indicate a potentially significant impact 	ot.	
Ot	her	sources used:		
9.	Tra	ansportation/Circulation:		
	a.	Will the project generate significant traffic volumes and/or make a significant contribution to an existing circulation problem (consider existing LOS on nearby arterials and highways, road design, access, parking, accident potential)?	∐Yes	⊠ No

-4-

Initial Study

Rev 5-00

	D.	waterborne, rail, air, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic, and public transportation systems and parking facilities)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project result in a significant increase in commuting to and from the local community?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	d.	Will the project be impacted by or interfere with an airport flight path?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	e.	Will the project restrict access to the surrounding area?	□Yes	⊠ No
Oth	er s	sources used (note traffic studies):		
10.	<u>Cu</u>	Itural Resources:		
	a.	Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, or building?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Oth	er s	sources used:		
11.	<u>Ho</u>	using:		
	a.	Will the proposal adversely affect the existing housing stock or create a demand for additional housing (more than 50 units)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Oth	ers	sources used:		
12.	<u>Ae</u>	sthetics:		
	a.	Will the project obstruct any public scenic vista or view, create an aesthetically offensive site open to public view, or produce new light or glare?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Oth	er	sources used:		
13.	<u>La</u>	nd Use:		
	a.	Is this project a growth-inducing action: Will it encourage additional requests for related uses, or will it set a significant land use precedent in the area?	∐Yes	⊠ No*
	b.	Will the project conflict with existing or planned land uses; is the project in conflict with any adopted plans?	∐Yes	⊠ No*
	C.	Will the project disrupt a natural or recreation area, impact access to waterways, or allow trespass onto surrounding land?	∐Yes	⊠ No
14.	<u>Cu</u>	<u>ımulative</u> :		
	a.	Will the project create any impacts which may not be significant for the project alone, but may be significant when combined with other anticipated development of similar type and or location?	∐Yes	⊠ No

Othe	rs	sources used:							
	15. Other Impacts: Identify any other impact(s) not noted above which may be significant, and cite source(s).								
16. <u>N</u>	<u>lla</u>	ndatory Findings of Significance:							
(A "Y	es	s" answer to any of the following questions requires preparation of an EIR	ł.)						
а	۱.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or curtail the diversity in the environment?	∐Yes	⊠ No					
b).	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?	∐Yes	⊠ No					
C	; .	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable?	∐Yes	⊠ No					
c	i.	Does the project have environmental impacts which will cause substantial, adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	∐Yes	⊠ No					
17. [OIS	SCUSSION OF ANY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOTED ABOVE.							
aste Disc	ris us	ss any questions answered "Yes" above, as well as any "No" answers r k (*). Discuss any changes to the project which could mitigate the ident is any proposed mitigation monitoring program submitted by the project anal attached pages if necessary.)	ified impac	ts.					
		(see attached)	48*18*4						
PRE	Pν	ARED BY: Rok Graffor DATE:	<u>3/15/11</u>						

INITIAL STUDY, CONTINUED

Project Description

This project is a Site Approval application for grading to replace an existing Burlington Northern & Santa Fe railway bridge within the (OS/RC) Resource Conservation area of the general plan. All work will be done within the existing railroad right-of-way crossing Lone Tree Creek. No property acquisition is required for this property. The new replacement structure will consist of a single track bridge on existing alignment. The project is located on the west side of Sexton Road, 2000 feet south of Lone Tree Creek, northwest of Escalon. The property is not under Williamson Act contract.

Environmental Issues

1.a and d. Water

The project area at Lone Tree Creek is in flood zone AE. The existing and proposed structures are located within the limits of a detailed FEMA Flood Insurance Study (FIS), dated October 16, 2009 for San Joaquin County; as such, a no-rise certification is required. Base flood elevations are shown on Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Community-Panel Numbers 060299 0550 F, dated October 16, 2009. TransSystems has performed the hydraulic analyses for both the existing and the proposed conditions at this site. TransSystem has outlined the steps required for a no-rise water surface elevation for the proposed project. These steps will be taken to ensure that the impacts from flooding will be less than significant.

TranSystems also did a wetlands delineation report dated November 23, 2010. A field assessment was conducted on September 14 and 15, 2010. The report identified one perennial water (Lone Tree Creek) and one wetland system within the project area.

The wetland extends north and south of the gridge along both vanks of the stream. The wetland area is located with the mapped soil type Madera, a non-hydritic soil with hydritic inclusions. The hydrologic regime of this wetland is considered regularly inundated/saturated. The wetland is considered a palustrine persistent emergent (PEM) wetland based on the Cowardin (1979) classification system. This PEM wetland area was essentially comprised of four small fringe areas along Lone Tree Creek that were connected by the surface waters of the stream. The total acreage of these four wetland fringes within the project area boundaries were determined to be 0.0067 acre in size. The wetland was dominated by rice cut grass (Leersia oryzoides-OBL), lakeshore sedge (Carex lenticularis-FACW), curly-top knotweed (Polygonum lapathifolium-OBL) and Oregon ash (Fraxinus latifolia). This wetland is considered a non-isolated or adjacent wetland, thus falling under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACE). Therefore, a 404-B permit from the ACE will be required to ensure that the impact on wetlands is less than significant.

3.b. Plant/Animal

The Natural Diversity Database does not list any rare, endangered, or threatened species or habitat on or near the site. Referrals have been sent to the San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) for review. If SJCOG determines that the applicant may participate in the San Joaquin Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan (SJMSCP), and if the applicant chooses to participate, then the proposed project is consistent with the SJMSCP, as amended, as reflected in the conditions of project approval for this proposal. Pursuant to the Final EIR/EIS for the SJMSCP, dated November 15, 2000, and certified by the San Joaquin Council of Governments on December 7, 2000, implementation of the SJMSCP is expected to reduce

impacts to biological resources resulting from the proposed project to a level of less-thansignificant. If the applicant chooses not to participate, then the applicant will be required to participate in a similar mechanism that provides the same level of mitigation.

9.a. Traffic

The proposed bridge will cross Lone Tree Creek. There will be no construction at either Sexton Road or Lone Tree Road. The Public Works Department was sent a referral on February 25, 2011. No traffic study will be required. The impact from traffic is expected to be less than significant.

13.a and b. Land Use

This project is the replacement of an existing railway bridge. There are no changes in land uses proposed with this permit. The project is not growth-inducing and it will not set a land use precedent. The surrounding land uses are agricultural with scattered residences. A bridge may be conditionally permitted in the AG-40 zone with an approved Site Approval application.
